
From: Munger, Bridget [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C54E1F650CEA49968A5ABA689C204F61-BCMUNGER]
Sent: 10/9/2017 5:45:04 PM
To: Kritzer, Jamie [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=cee93c49d01445a3b541bb327dcdc840-jbkritzer]; Talley, Noelle S [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=cd9f3882421746bcb5a60cbe82cdff89-nstalley]; Holman, Sheila [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=94a3f69674d34b769b3bd834a97105c5-scholman]; Nicholson, John A. [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=88b04784e0904037af6855b326e9b943-janicholson]; Lance, Kathleen C [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9aa56599b2c74951ba61f020eacfee58-kclance]; Lane, Bill F [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a4db93cf656d476a978a4db840b159fd-bflane]; Webster, Timothy J [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f14ff7e7c1bd40bfb4a20574cd8067d1-tjwebster]; Miller, Anderson [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6aa06c2170574076afa0a06adab860ea-amiller20]; Davis, Tracy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=125c8390ae094136b25869bb1b436700-tracy.davis]; Lucas, Jill M [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7187fc4106394e60a5e554cc279c0d72-jmlucas]; Vinson, Toby [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c471e14e0dc8419ab702524582c7a7b8-tvinson]; Abraczinskas, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=51d876e5df244dbaa810b18b8d5216ac-maabraczins]; Pjetraj, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=177834ecf99d4055acaffb7ccea67afc-mpjetraj]; Weiner, Sadie [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=df98bd64929043eeaab54e589dd7d1b2-asweiner]
CC: Munger, Bridget [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c54e1f650cea49968a5aba689c204f61-bcmunger]
Subject: RE: NC officials deny environmental permit for Atlantic Coast Pipeline - News & Observer

I just spoke with John Murawski. He's made some revisions to the story—you can read the latest here: <http://www.newsobserver.com/news/business/article177890556.html>. John was insistent about keeping the term "environmental plans" in the lead, but does go on to explain that they are sediment and erosion control plans later in the story.

FYI, the public comment period opens tomorrow for the draft air quality permit that is required for ACP's Northampton County compressor station. A public hearing is scheduled for Nov. 15 in Garysburg. The public notice will be published in multiple regional newspapers as well as the N&O. The comment period and public hearing will also be announced through a news release, DEQ website and an email update to the ACP interested parties list. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Bridget

Bridget Munger
Public Information Officer
N.C. Department of Environmental Quality

919-807-6363 office
919-207-7786 mobile
bridget.munger@ncdenr.gov

1612 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1612

Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the

From: Kritzer, Jamie

Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 4:00 PM

To: Holman, Sheila <sheila.holman@ncdenr.gov>; Nicholson, John A. <John.Nicholson@ncdenr.gov>; Lance, Kathleen C <kathleen.lance@ncdenr.gov>; Kritzer, Jamie <jamie.kritzer@ncdenr.gov>; Lane, Bill F <Bill.Lane@ncdenr.gov>; Webster, Timothy J <timothy.webster@ncdenr.gov>; Miller, Anderson <anderson.miller@ncdenr.gov>; Davis, Tracy <tracy.davis@ncdenr.gov>; Munger, Bridget <bridget.munger@ncdenr.gov>; Lucas, Jill M <Jill.Lucas@ncdenr.gov>; Vinson, Toby <toby.vinson@ncdenr.gov>

Cc: Talley, Noelle S <Noelle.Talley@nc.gov>

Subject: NC officials deny environmental permit for Atlantic Coast Pipeline - News & Observer

A map shows the route the proposed natural gas Atlantic Coast Pipeline would take through North Carolina. **Chris Seward** cseward@newsobserver.com

BUSINESS

NC officials deny environmental permit for Atlantic Coast Pipeline

BY JOHN MURAWSKI

jmurawski@newsobserver.com

OCTOBER 09, 2017 3:31 PM

Gov. Roy Cooper's administration has rejected an application by Duke Energy and three other energy companies to build an interstate pipeline to carry natural gas from West Virginia into North Carolina.

The letter of disapproval from the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality is the first decision on the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline from any government agency – state or federal – in the three states the project would traverse. Duke Energy is also expecting a decision as early as this month from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

The N.C. Department of Environmental Quality said the 600-mile underground pipeline, which would travel through eight North Carolina counties, including Johnston and Nash, does not meet the state's standards for erosion and sediment control. The agency has asked Charlotte-based Duke and its partners to resubmit the application with additional information within 15 days, or to contest the agency's disapproval and request a hearing within 60 days.

The environmental permit is the main hurdle the Atlantic Coast Pipeline needs to clear in North Carolina. Duke issued a statement saying it will submit the information requested.

"The NC DEQ did not reject the ACP erosion and sedimentation control plan; they simply asked for more information," Duke said. "It's a normal part of the process for agencies to ask for additional information before making a final decision."

“We’ve provided most of the requested information already, and we expect to provide the rest later this week,” Duke said. “That should resolve the issue and allow the agency to complete its review.”

One of the opponents of the project characterized the agency’s decision as more than a routine matter.

“At the very least, it represents a significant hurdle the Atlantic Coast Pipeline will have to overcome,” said Doug Jackson, spokesman for the Sierra Club.

The Department of Environmental Quality issued the ruling Sept. 26 and posted it online Friday. The agency wants the application to include the project’s effects on streams and wetlands, and to include various other calculations, maps and documentation.

Duke applied for the project with Virginia-based Dominion Energy, Georgia-based Southern Energy and Piedmont Natural Gas, the Charlotte natural gas utility that Duke acquired last year.

The pipeline would carry natural gas from the Marcellus Shale, where it would be extracted through hydraulic fracturing, or fracking, and delivered to North Carolina to supply fuel to run electric power plants in this state, as well as to heat homes and businesses.

John Murawski: [919-829-8932](tel:919-829-8932), [@johnmurawski](https://twitter.com/johnmurawski)

Jamie Kritzer
Communications Director
N.C. Department of Environmental Quality
919-707-8602
919-218-5935



Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.